arXiv:1608.07064v2 [math.AP] 19 Sep 2016

# Existence of solutions for a class of nonlinear Choquard equations with critical growth *

**Yong Ao**

*Department of Mathematical Sciences, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China*

**Abstract**

In this paper, we consider the nonlinear Choquard equations of the form

$$-\Delta u + u = (I_\alpha * |u|^p)|u|^{p-2}u + |u|^{q-2}u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where $I_\alpha$ is a Riesz potential, $\alpha \in (0, N)$, $N \geqslant 4$, $p = \dfrac{N+\alpha}{N-2}$, $2 < q < 2^*$. We show the existence of solutions of the equations.

*Key words*: Nonlinear Choquard equations, Nehari manifold, variational methods.

## 1 Introduction

In this paper we consider the Choquard problem

$$-\Delta u + u = (I_\alpha * |u|^p)|u|^{p-2}u + |u|^{q-2}u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \tag{1.1}$$

where $I_\alpha$ is the Riesz potential defined by

$$I_\alpha(x) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{N-\alpha}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{\alpha}{2})\pi^{N/2}2^\alpha|x|^{N-\alpha}} \triangleq \frac{\bar{C}}{|x|^{N-\alpha}},$$

$$(I_\alpha * |u|^p)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\bar{C}|u(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}}dy,$$

and $\Gamma$ is the Gamma function.

As is known that the solutions can be obtained by finding the critical points of the following functional

$$I(u) = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^2 dx - \frac{1}{2p}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * |u|^p)|u|^p dx - \frac{1}{q}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^q dx.$$

The following problem

$$-\Delta u + u = (I_\alpha * |u|^p)|u|^{p-2}u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \tag{1.2}$$

where $0 < \alpha < N$, $\dfrac{N+\alpha}{N} \leqslant p \leqslant \dfrac{N+\alpha}{N-2}$, has been studied in the past few years. Problem (1.2) is a nonlocal one due to the existence of the nonlocal nonlinearity. It arises in various fields of mathematical

*E-mails: aoy15@mails.tsinghua.edu.cn

physics, such as quantum mechanics, physics of laser beams, and the physics of multiple-particle systems. When $N = 3,\ \alpha = p = 2$, (1.2) turns to be the well-known Choquard-Pekar equation:

$$-\Delta u + u = (I_2 * |u|^2)u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3, \tag{1.3}$$

which was proposed as early as in 1954 by Pekar to describe the quantum machanics of a polaron at rest in [25], and by a work of Choquard in 1976 in a certain approximation to Hartree-Fock theory for one-component plasma, see [14]. (1.3) is also known as the nonlinear stationary Hartree equation since if $u$ solves (1.3) , then $\psi(t,x) = e^{it}u(x)$ is a solitary wave of the following time-dependent Hartree equation

$$i\psi_t = -\Delta\psi - (I_2 * |\psi|^p)|\psi|^{p-2}\psi,$$

see [19]. There have been many papers considering problems (1.2) and (1.3) by variational methods and also by ordinary differential equations techniques, see [8,14,16,18-21]. In [21], Moroz and Schaftingen proved that (1.2) has a nontrivial solution if and only if $\frac{N+\alpha}{N} < p < \frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}$ (see also [11,17]), and the upper critical exponent $\frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}$ appears as a natural extension of the critical Sobolev exponent $\frac{2N}{N-2}$.

Physical models in which particles are under the influence of an external electric field, lead to study Choquard equations in the form

$$-\Delta u + V(x)u = (I_\alpha * F(u))f(u) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \tag{1.4}$$

where $F(s)$ is the primitive of $f(s)$. When $f(s) = |s|^{p-2}s$, the authors in [4] have proved the existence of multi-bump solutions for (1.4) with deepening potential well $V(x) = \lambda a(x) + 1$ in $\mathbb{R}^3$. The existence of a nontrivial positive solution for the lower critical exponent $p = \frac{N+\alpha}{N}$ has been studied in [22]. And for the upper critical exponent $p = \frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}$, when $V(x) = \lambda$, the authors in [10] have proved the existence of a nontrivial solution in a bounded domain. For a more general nonlinearity, when $V(x) = 1$, Moroz and Schaftingen have proved the exitence of the groundstate solution in [23], and the authors in [9] have proved the existence of groundstates for nonlinear fractional Choquard equations. In [13], Li and Ye have proved the existence of positive solutions with prescribed $L^2$-norm for problem (1.4). The authors in [3] have proved the existence of a positive solution when $V(x)$ is a radial function and vanishes at infinity for $p = \frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}$. When $\Delta$ is replaced by $\varepsilon^2\Delta$, the existence and concentration of groundstate solutions have been proved in [2].

For problem (1.1), the existence of solutions has been proved when $N = 3,\ 0 < \alpha < 1,\ p = 2$ and $4 \leqslant q < 6$ in [6]. When $N = 2$, the Riesz potential $I_\alpha$ is replaced by two-dimensional Newtonian potential $W$ defined for $x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ by $W(x) = -\log(|x|)/(2\pi)$, then the problem has been studied for $p = 2$ and $q > 2$ in [7].

In our paper, we consider the case of $N \geqslant 4$, $p = \frac{N+\alpha}{N-2},\ 2 < q < 2^*$.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let $0 < \alpha < N$, $p = \frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}$, when $N \geqslant 5$, $2 < q < 2^*$, or $N = 4$, $3 < q < 4$, then (1.1) has a nontrival solution.*

Consider the following minimizing problem

$$A = \inf\{\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx : u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N), \text{ and } \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(u)dx = 1\}, \tag{1.5}$$

where

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(u)dx = \frac{1}{2p}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * |u|^p)|u|^p dx + \frac{1}{q}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^q dx - \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^2 dx.$$

In [5], the authors studied the following nonlinear scalar field equation

$$-\Delta u = g(u) \quad in\ \mathbb{R}^N, \tag{1.6}$$

where $g : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function. They obtained the existence of groundstate solution of the problem by considering the above minimization problem where $G : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the primitive of a function $g : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which has a subcritical growth. In [12], the authors showed that the mountain pass level of the energy functional associated to (1.6) is a critical value and corresponds to the groundstate found in [5]. In [1,28], the authors studied the existence of the least energy solutions for the critical growth.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let $0 < \alpha < N$, $p = \dfrac{N+\alpha}{N-2}$, when $N \geqslant 5$, $2 < q < 2^*$, or $N = 4$, $3 < q < 4$, then (1.5) has a minimizer.*

**Remark 1.1.** *In our paper we can't obtain the least energy solution by scaling the minimizer due to the nonlocal term and the subcritical term.*

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we give some notations and prove theorem 1.1. In section 3, we conclude the proof of theorem 1.2.

## 2 The Proof of Theorem 1.1

First we give some notations. Denote

$$A(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx, \quad B(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * |u|^p)|u|^p dx,$$

$$C(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^q dx, \quad D(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^2 dx.$$

We consider problem (1.1) on the space$H^1_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and denote the Nehari manifold

$$\mathcal{N} = \{u \in H^1_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\} : J(u) \triangleq \langle I'(u), u\rangle = A(u) + D(u) - B(u) - C(u) = 0\},$$

and we know that the critical point of the functional must lie in the Nehari manifold. To obtain the existence of a solution of the equation, we consider the following constrained minimizing problem

$$c = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}} I(u).$$

In what follows, $S > 0$ denotes the best constant of Sobolev embedding

$$D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \hookrightarrow L^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

and $C$ denotes positive constant which may be different in different places. It is important to recall the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality which will be frequently used in our paper, see [15].

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let $s, r > 0$ and $0 < \lambda < N$ with $1/s + \lambda/N + 1/r = 2$. If $f \in L^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $g \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^N)$, then there exists a sharp constant $C(s, N, \lambda)$ such that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{f(x)g(y)}{|x-y|^\lambda} dxdy \leqslant C(s, N, \lambda)\|f\|_s \|g\|_r.$$

*Moreover, if $s = r = 2N/(2N-\lambda)$, then*

$$C(s, N, \lambda) = C(N, \lambda) = \pi^{\frac{\lambda}{2}} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{N-\lambda}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{2N-\lambda}{2})} \Big(\frac{\Gamma(\frac{N}{2})}{\Gamma(N)}\Big)^{-\frac{N-\lambda}{N}},$$

*and the sharp constant is achieved if and only if $g \equiv (const.)f$ and*

$$f(x) = A(\gamma^2 + |x-a|^2)^{-(2N-\lambda)/2},$$

*for some $A \in \mathbb{C}$, $0 \neq \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a \in \mathbb{R}^N$.*

Then we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}(I_\alpha * |u|^p)|u|^p dx \leqslant C_0\|u\|_{2^*}^{2p},$$

where

$$C_0 = C(N,\lambda)\bar{C} = (4\pi)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\frac{\Gamma(\frac{N-\alpha}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{N+\alpha}{2})}\big(\frac{\Gamma(\frac{N}{2})}{\Gamma(N)}\big)^{-\frac{\alpha}{N}}.$$

**Lemma 2.1.** *The Nehari manifold $\mathcal{N}$ is not empty.*

**Proof.** For $\forall u \in H^1_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N)\setminus\{0\}$, and $t>0$,

$$J(tu) = t^2[A(u)+D(u)] - t^{2p}B(u) - t^qC(u),$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}J(tu) &= 2t[A(u)+D(u)] - 2pt^{2p-1}B(u) - qt^{q-1}C(u)\\ &= t[2A(u)+2D(u) - 2pt^{2p-2}B(u) - qt^{q-2}C(u)]\\ &\triangleq tg(t).\end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

It is easy to verify that there exist a unique $t_1>0$ such that

$$g(t)\begin{cases}>0 & for\ \ 0<t<t_1,\\ <0 & for\ \ t>t_1.\end{cases}$$

Since $J(0)=0$ and $J(tu)\longrightarrow -\infty$ as $t\longrightarrow+\infty$, then there exist a unique $t_u>0$ such that $t_uu\in\mathcal{N}$. □

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let $0<\alpha<N$, $p=\dfrac{N+\alpha}{N-2}$, when $N\geqslant 5$, $2<q<2^*$, or $N=4$, $3<q<4$, we have $0<c<\dfrac{p-1}{2p}C_0^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}S^{\frac{p}{p-1}}$.*

**Proof.** For $\forall u\in\mathcal{N}$, by Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality and Sobolev embedding theorem , it's easy to verify that there exists a $\delta_0>0$ such that

$$\|u\|\geqslant\delta_0.$$

Then we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}I(u) &= \frac{1}{2}[A(u)+D(u)] - \frac{1}{2p}B(u) - \frac{1}{q}C(u)\\ &\geqslant \big(\frac{1}{2} - \max\{\frac{1}{2p},\frac{1}{q}\}\big)\|u\|^2\\ &\geqslant \big(\frac{1}{2} - \max\{\frac{1}{2p},\frac{1}{q}\}\big)\delta_0^2\\ &>0.\end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

From the definition of $c$, we get that $c>0$.

To prove $c<\dfrac{p-1}{2p}C_0^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}S^{\frac{p}{p-1}}$, firstly we consider the case of $N\geqslant 5$. Set

$$U(x) = \frac{[N(N-2)]^{(N-2)/4}}{[1+|x|^2]^{(N-2)/2}},$$

$$U_\varepsilon(x)=\varepsilon^{\frac{2-N}{2}}U(x/\varepsilon)=\varepsilon^{\frac{2-N}{2}}\frac{[N(N-2)]^{(N-2)/4}}{[1+|x|^2/\varepsilon^2]^{(N-2)/2}},$$

as in [27], then we have

$$\|\nabla U_\varepsilon\|_2^2=\|U_\varepsilon\|_{2^*}^{2^*}=S^{N/2}.$$

By Prop 2.1, we have that

$$B(U_\varepsilon)=C_0\|U_\varepsilon\|_{2^*}^{2p}=C_0S^{(N+\alpha)/2}.$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned}\|U_\varepsilon\|_2^2&=\varepsilon^{2-N}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\frac{[N(N-2)]^{(N-2)/2}}{[1+|x|^2/\varepsilon^2]^{N-2}}dx\\&=C\varepsilon^2\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\frac{1}{[1+|y|^2]^{N-2}}dy\\&=C\varepsilon^2\int_0^{+\infty}\frac{r^{N-1}}{[1+r^2]^{N-2}}dr\\&=O(\varepsilon^2),\end{aligned}\tag{2.3}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\|U_\varepsilon\|_q^q&=\varepsilon^{q(2-N)/2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\frac{[N(N-2)]^{q(N-2)/4}}{[1+|x|^2/\varepsilon^2]^{q(N-2)/2}}dx\\&=C\varepsilon^{\frac{2N-q(N-2)}{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\frac{1}{[1+|y|^2]^{q(N-2)/2}}dy\\&=C\varepsilon^{\frac{2N-q(N-2)}{2}}\int_0^{+\infty}\frac{r^{N-1}}{[1+r^2]^{q(N-2)/2}}dr\\&=O(\varepsilon^{\frac{2N-q(N-2)}{2}}).\end{aligned}\tag{2.4}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}c=\inf_{u\in\mathcal{N}}I(u)&\leqslant\max_{t\geqslant0}I(tU_\varepsilon)\\&=\max_{t\geqslant0}[\frac{t^2}{2}A(U_\varepsilon)+\frac{t^2}{2}D(U_\varepsilon)-\frac{t^{2p}}{2p}B(U_\varepsilon)-\frac{t^q}{q}C(U_\varepsilon)]\\&=\frac{t_\varepsilon^2}{2}A(U_\varepsilon)+\frac{t_\varepsilon^2}{2}D(U_\varepsilon)-\frac{t_\varepsilon^{2p}}{2p}B(U_\varepsilon)-\frac{t_\varepsilon^q}{q}C(U_\varepsilon)\\&\leqslant\max_{t\geqslant0}\Big(\frac{t^2}{2}A(U_\varepsilon)-\frac{t^{2p}}{2p}B(U_\varepsilon)\Big)+\frac{t_\varepsilon^2}{2}D(U_\varepsilon)-\frac{t_\varepsilon^q}{q}C(U_\varepsilon)\\&=\frac{p-1}{2p}\big(\frac{A(U_\varepsilon)^p}{B(U_\varepsilon)}\big)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}+\frac{t_\varepsilon^2}{2}D(U_\varepsilon)-\frac{t_\varepsilon^q}{q}C(U_\varepsilon)\\&=\frac{p-1}{2p}C_0^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}S^{\frac{p}{p-1}}+\frac{t_\varepsilon^2}{2}D(U_\varepsilon)-\frac{t_\varepsilon^q}{q}C(U_\varepsilon),\end{aligned}\tag{2.5}$$

choosing $\varepsilon>0$ small enough, we know that $t_\varepsilon$ is close to $C_0^{-\frac{1}{2(p-1)}}S^{-\frac{\alpha}{4(p-1)}}$, so we have that $c<\frac{p-1}{2p}C_0^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}S^{\frac{p}{p-1}}$.

Now we consider the case of $N=4$. For $\varepsilon,\sigma>0$ , set

$$U^\sigma(x)=\frac{[N(N-2)]^{(N-2)/4}}{[1+|x|^2]^{(N-2+\sigma)/2}},$$

$$U_\varepsilon^\sigma(x)=\varepsilon^{\frac{2-N}{2}}U^\sigma(x/\varepsilon)=\varepsilon^{\frac{2-N}{2}}\frac{[N(N-2)]^{(N-2)/4}}{[1+|x|^2/\varepsilon^2]^{(N-2+\sigma)/2}}.$$

Choosing $\sigma = \varepsilon^s$, where $4 - q < s < q - 2$, for $\varepsilon > 0$ small, we can get that

$$\begin{aligned} \|U_\varepsilon^\sigma\|_2^2 &= \varepsilon^{2-N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{[N(N-2)]^{(N-2)/2}}{[1+|x|^2/\varepsilon^2]^{N-2+\sigma}} dx \\ &= C\varepsilon^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{[1+|y|^2]^{N-2+\sigma}} dy \\ &= C\varepsilon^2 \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{r^{N-1}}{[1+r^2]^{N-2+\sigma}} dr \\ &= O(\varepsilon^{2-s}), \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|U_\varepsilon^\sigma\|_q^q &= \varepsilon^{q(2-N)/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{[N(N-2)]^{q(N-2)/4}}{[1+|x|^2/\varepsilon^2]^{q(N-2+\sigma)/2}} dx \\ &= C\varepsilon^{4-q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{[1+|y|^2]^{q(N-2+\sigma)/2}} dy \\ &= C\varepsilon^{4-q} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{r^{N-1}}{[1+r^2]^{q(N-2+\sigma)/2}} dr \\ &= O(\varepsilon^{4-q}). \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

It is easy to verify that

$$A(U_\varepsilon^\sigma) = A(U^\sigma), \;\; B(U_\varepsilon^\sigma) = B(U^\sigma).$$

With simple calculations, for $\varepsilon > 0$ small, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} A(U^\sigma) &= [N(N-2)]^{(N-2)/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{(N-2+\sigma)^2|x|^2}{(1+|x|^2)^{N+\sigma}} dx \\ &\leqslant [N(N-2)]^{(N-2)/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{(N-2)^2|x|^2}{(1+|x|^2)^N} dx + C\sigma \\ &= A(U) + C\sigma, \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

and by Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} B(U) - B(U^\sigma) =& C \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}(1+|x|^2)^p(1+|y|^2)^p} \\ & \cdot \big\{1 - \frac{1}{[(1+|x|^2)(1+|y|^2)]^{p\sigma/2}}\big\} dxdy \\ \leqslant& C \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\sigma \ln[(1+|x|^2)(1+|y|^2)]}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}(1+|x|^2)^p(1+|y|^2)^p} dxdy \\ \leqslant& C\sigma \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}(1+|x|^2)^{p-1}(1+|y|^2)^{p-1}} dxdy \\ \leqslant& C\sigma \big( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\frac{1}{1+|x|^2})^{\frac{2N(p-1)}{N+\alpha}} dx \big)^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} \\ =& C\sigma, \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

then we have that $A(U^\sigma)^p \leqslant A(U)^p + C\sigma$, $B(U^\sigma) \geqslant B(U) - C\sigma$, so $\dfrac{A(U^\sigma)^p}{B(U^\sigma)} \leqslant \dfrac{A(U)^p}{B(U)} + C\sigma$. Thus,

$\big(\frac{A(U^\sigma)^p}{B(U^\sigma)}\big)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \leqslant \big(\frac{A(U)^p}{B(U)}\big)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} + C\sigma$. Then we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} c = \inf_{u\in\mathcal{N}} I(u) &\leqslant \max_{t\geqslant 0} I(tU_\varepsilon^\sigma) \\ &= \max_{t\geqslant 0}[\frac{t^2}{2}A(U_\varepsilon^\sigma) + \frac{t^2}{2}D(U_\varepsilon^\sigma) - \frac{t^{2p}}{2p}B(U_\varepsilon^\sigma) - \frac{t^q}{q}C(U_\varepsilon^\sigma)] \\ &= \frac{t_\varepsilon^2}{2}A(U_\varepsilon^\sigma) + \frac{t_\varepsilon^2}{2}D(U_\varepsilon^\sigma) - \frac{t_\varepsilon^{2p}}{2p}B(U_\varepsilon^\sigma) - \frac{t_\varepsilon^q}{q}C(U_\varepsilon^\sigma) \\ &\leqslant \max_{t\geqslant 0}[\frac{t^2}{2}A(U^\sigma) - \frac{t^{2p}}{2p}B(U^\sigma)] + \frac{t_\varepsilon^2}{2}D(U_\varepsilon^\sigma) - \frac{t_\varepsilon^q}{q}C(U_\varepsilon^\sigma) \\ &= \frac{p-1}{2p}\big(\frac{A(U^\sigma)^p}{B(U^\sigma)}\big)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} + \frac{t_\varepsilon^2}{2}D(U_\varepsilon^\sigma) - \frac{t_\varepsilon^q}{q}C(U_\varepsilon^\sigma) \\ &\leqslant \frac{p-1}{2p}C_0^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}S^{\frac{p}{p-1}} + O(\varepsilon^s) + \frac{t_\varepsilon^2}{2}O(\varepsilon^{2-s}) - \frac{t_\varepsilon^q}{q}O(\varepsilon^{4-q}) \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

for $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough, and we have that $c < \frac{p-1}{2p}C_0^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}S^{\frac{p}{p-1}}$. □

**Lemma 2.3.** *The $(PS)_c$ sequence of the constrained functional $I|_{\mathcal{N}}$ is also a $(PS)_c$ sequence of $I$ , namely, if $\{u_n\} \subset \mathcal{N}$ satisfies $I(u_n) \longrightarrow c$ and $I'|_{\mathcal{N}}(u_n) \longrightarrow 0$, then $I'(u_n) \longrightarrow 0$.*

**Proof.** Firstly we prove $\{u_n\}$ is bounded. Since $\{u_n\} \subset \mathcal{N}$, then $A(u_n)+D(u_n) = B(u_n)+C(u_n)$. Since $I(u_n) \longrightarrow c$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} c + o(1) = I(u_n) &= \frac{1}{2}\|u_n\|^2 - \frac{1}{2p}B(u_n) - \frac{1}{q}C(u_n) \\ &\geqslant \frac{1}{2}\|u_n\|^2 - \max\{\frac{1}{2p}, \frac{1}{q}\}[B(u_n) + C(u_n)] \\ &= \big(\frac{1}{2} - \max\{\frac{1}{2p}, \frac{1}{q}\}\big)\|u_n\|^2, \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

thus $\{u_n\}$ is bounded.

By Lagrange multiplier theorem, there exists a sequence $\{t_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$I'(u_n) = I'|_{\mathcal{N}}(u_n) + t_n J'(u_n).$$

Then we have

$$0 = \langle I'(u_n), u_n\rangle = \langle I'|_{\mathcal{N}}(u_n), u_n\rangle + t_n \langle J'(u_n), u_n\rangle,$$

therefore

$$t_n \langle J'(u_n), u_n\rangle \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \langle J'(u_n), u_n\rangle &= 2A(u_n) + 2D(u_n) - 2pB(u_n) - qC(u_n) \\ &\leqslant 2\|u_n\|^2 - \min\{2p, q\}\big(B(u_n) + C(u_n)\big) \\ &\leqslant \big(2 - \min\{2p, q\}\big)\delta_0^2 \\ &< 0, \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

and

$$\langle J'(u_n), u_n\rangle \geqslant \big(2 - \max\{2p, q\}\big)\|u_n\|^2,$$

then we get that $\{\langle J'(u_n), u_n\rangle\}$ is bounded due to the boundedness of $\{u_n\}$. So $t_n \longrightarrow 0$, and $I'(u_n) \longrightarrow 0$. □

**Proof of Theorem1.1.** By Ekeland variational principle, there exists a sequence $\{u_n\} \subset \mathcal{N}$ satisfying $I(u_n) \longrightarrow c$ and $I'|_{\mathcal{N}}(u_n) \longrightarrow 0$. By Lemma 2.3, $\{u_n\}$ is bounded and $I'(u_n) \longrightarrow 0$. Then for $\forall v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nabla u_n \cdot \nabla v + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_n v - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * |u_n|^p)|u_n|^{p-2}u_n v - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{q-2}u_n v = o(1)\|v\|.$$

Assume $u_n \rightharpoonup u_0$ up to subsequence, and $u_n \longrightarrow u_0$ a.e. on $\mathbb{R}^N$ . Then we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nabla u_n \cdot \nabla v \longrightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nabla u_0 \cdot \nabla v,$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_n v \longrightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_0 v,$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{q-2}u_n v \longrightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_0|^{q-2}u_0 v.$$

Moreover, for $\forall \phi \in C_c^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, suppose that supp$(\phi) \subset K \subset\subset \mathbb{R}^N$, by Rellich compactness theorem and Sobolev inequality, we get that $|u_n|^p \rightharpoonup |u_c|^p$ in $L^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $|u_n|^{p-2}u_n \longrightarrow |u_c|^{p-2}u_c$ in measure on $K$ up to subsequence. By Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality, we have that $I_\alpha * |u_n|^p \rightharpoonup I_\alpha * |u_c|^p$ in $L^{\frac{2N}{N-\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Since $|u_n|^{p-2}u_n \longrightarrow |u_c|^{p-2}u_c$ in measure on $K$, for $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \delta > 0$, $\exists N > 0$ such that $\forall n > N$, we have

$$m\big\{x \in K : \big||u_n(x)|^{p-2}u_n(x) - |u_c(x)|^{p-2}u_c(x)\big| > \delta\big\} < \varepsilon.$$

Set $K_1 = \big\{x \in K : \big||u_n(x)|^{p-2}u_n(x) - |u_c(x)|^{p-2}u_c(x)\big| > \delta\big\}$. By Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality and Hölder inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * |u_n|^p)|u_n|^{p-2}u_n\phi - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * |u_c|^p)|u_c|^{p-2}u_c\phi\Big| \\
&\leqslant \Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \big(I_\alpha * (|u_n|^p - |u_c|^p)\big)|u_c|^{p-2}u_c\phi\Big| + \int_K (I_\alpha * |u_n|^p)\big||u_n|^{p-2}u_n - |u_c|^{p-2}u_c\big||\phi| \\
&:= I_1 + I_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $I_\alpha * |u_n|^p \rightharpoonup I_\alpha * |u_c|^p$ in $L^{\frac{2N}{N-\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, then $I_1 \longrightarrow 0$. And

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2 =& \int_{K_1} (I_\alpha * |u_n|^p)\big||u_n|^{p-2}u_n - |u_c|^{p-2}u_c\big||\phi| + \int_{K/K_1} (I_\alpha * |u_n|^p)\big||u_n|^{p-2}u_n - |u_c|^{p-2}u_c\big||\phi| \\
\leqslant& C\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{\frac{2Np}{N+\alpha}}\Big)^{\frac{N+\alpha}{2N}} \Big(\Big(\int_{K_1} \big||u_n|^{p-2}u_n - |u_c|^{p-2}u_c\big|^{\frac{2Np}{(N+\alpha)(p-1)}}\Big)^{\frac{(N+\alpha)(p-1)}{2Np}} \Big(\int_{K_1} |\phi|^{\frac{2Np}{N+\alpha}}\Big)^{\frac{N+\alpha}{2Np}} \\
&+ \delta\Big(\int_{K/K_1} |\phi|^{\frac{2Np}{N+\alpha}}\Big)^{\frac{N+\alpha}{2Np}}\Big),
\end{aligned}$$

by the absolute continuity of the integral, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * |u_n|^p)|u_n|^{p-2}u_n\phi \longrightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * |u_c|^p)|u_c|^{p-2}u_c\phi.$$

Since $C_c^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is dense in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$,then we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * |u_n|^p)|u_n|^{p-2}u_n v \longrightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * |u_0|^p)|u_0|^{p-2}u_0 v.$$

Thus we get that $I'(u_0) = 0$.

Finally, we want to show that $u_0 \neq 0$. Otherwise, we assume that $u_n \rightharpoonup u_0 = 0$. Since the embedding $H^1_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N) \hookrightarrow L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is compact, we obtain that $C(u_n) \longrightarrow 0$. Then we have

$$\|u_n\|^2 \longrightarrow \frac{2p}{p-1}c,$$

$$B(u_n) \longrightarrow \frac{2p}{p-1}c.$$

By Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality, we know that

$$B(u_n) \leqslant C_0 S^{-p} \|\nabla u_n\|_2^{2p} \leqslant C_0 S^{-p} \|u_n\|^{2p}.$$

Letting $n \longrightarrow +\infty$, we have that

$$\frac{2p}{p-1}c \leqslant C_0 S^{-p} (\frac{2p}{p-1}c)^p,$$

then

$$c \geqslant \frac{p-1}{2p} C_0^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} S^{\frac{p}{p-1}},$$

which contradicts with Lemma 2.2. So we get that $u_0 \neq 0$, and $u_0$ is a solution of (1.1). □

# 3 The Proof of Theorem 1.2

Define the set

$$\mathcal{M} := \{u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\} : \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(u)dx = 1\}.$$

It is important to observe that $\mathcal{M}$ is a $C^1$ manifold. Indeed, for every $u \in \mathcal{M}$, let $H(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(u)dx$, then

$$\langle H'(u), u\rangle = B(u) + C(u) - D(u) = (1 - \frac{1}{p})B(u) + (1 - \frac{2}{q})C(u) + 2 > 2,$$

thus $H'(u) \neq 0$.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Any minimizing sequence $\{u_n\}$ by (1.5) is bounded in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$.*

**Proof.** Since $\{u_n\}$ is a minimizing sequence, we have

$$\frac{1}{2}A(u_n) \longrightarrow A,$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(u_n)dx = \frac{1}{2p}B(u_n) + \frac{1}{q}C(u_n) - \frac{1}{2}D(u_n) = 1.$$

By Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality, we have

$$B(u_n) \leqslant C_0 \parallel u_n \parallel_{2^*}^{2p},$$

where $C_0$ is the best constant. Moreover, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$C(u_n) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^q dx \leqslant \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\frac{1}{4}|u_n|^2 + C|u_n|^{2^*})dx.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}D(u_n)+1&=\frac{1}{2p}B(u_n)+\frac{1}{q}C(u_n)\\&\leqslant C(\|u_n\|_{2^*}^{2p}+\|u_n\|_{2^*}^{2^*})+\frac{1}{4}D(u_n).\end{aligned}\tag{3.1}$$

Thus,

$$D(u_n)\leqslant C(\|u_n\|_{2^*}^{2p}+\|u_n\|_{2^*}^{2^*})\leqslant C\big(S^{-\frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}}A(u_n)^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}}+S^{-\frac{N}{N-2}}A(u_n)^{\frac{N}{N-2}}\big).$$

Since $A(u_n)\longrightarrow 2A$, then $\{A(u_n)\}$ and $\{D(u_n)\}$ are both bounded, which implies the boundedness of $\{u_n\}$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$. □

**Lemma 3.2.** *Under the assumptions of theorem 1.2, we have that* $0<A<\frac{1}{2}S\left(\frac{2p}{C_0}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$.

**Proof.** Firstly we want to prove the set $\mathcal{M}$ is not empty. When $N\geqslant 5$, set

$$u_\varepsilon(x)=\frac{\big(N(N-2)\varepsilon^2\big)^{\frac{N-2}{4}}}{(\varepsilon^2+|x|^2)^{\frac{N-2}{2}}}.$$

As in [27], we obtain

$$\|\nabla u_\varepsilon\|_2^2=\|u_\varepsilon\|_{2^*}^{2^*}=S^{\frac{N}{2}}.$$

Moreover, we know that $u_\varepsilon$ is a maximizing function of the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality, see [14] . Let $v_\varepsilon=\dfrac{u_\varepsilon}{\|u_\varepsilon\|_{2^*}}$, we have

$$T(v_\varepsilon)=\frac{\|\nabla u_\varepsilon\|_2^2}{2\|u_\varepsilon\|_{2^*}^2}=\frac{S}{2},\tag{3.2}$$

$$B(v_\varepsilon)=\frac{B(u_\varepsilon)}{\|u_\varepsilon\|_{2^*}^{2p}}=\frac{C_0\|u_\varepsilon\|_{2^*}^{2p}}{\|u_\varepsilon\|_{2^*}^{2p}}=C_0,\tag{3.3}$$

$$C(v_\varepsilon)=\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|u_\varepsilon|^q dx}{\|u_\varepsilon\|_{2^*}^q}=C_1\varepsilon^{N-\frac{N-2}{2}q}\int_0^\infty\frac{r^{N-1}}{(1+r^2)^{\frac{N-2}{2}q}}dr,\tag{3.4}$$

$$D(v_\varepsilon)=\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|u_\varepsilon|^2 dx}{\|u_\varepsilon\|_{2^*}^2}=C_2\varepsilon^2\int_0^\infty\frac{r^{N-1}}{(1+r^2)^{N-2}}dr.\tag{3.5}$$

It is easy to check that $\int_0^\infty\frac{r^{N-1}}{(1+r^2)^{\frac{N-2}{2}q}}dr<+\infty$ and $\int_0^\infty\frac{r^{N-1}}{(1+r^2)^{N-2}}dr<+\infty$. For $t>0$, we have that

$$H(tv_\varepsilon)=\frac{C_0}{2p}t^{2p}+C_1t^q\varepsilon^{N-\frac{N-2}{2}q}-C_2t^2\varepsilon^2.$$

Set

$$g_\varepsilon(t)=C_1t^q\varepsilon^{N-\frac{N-2}{2}q}-C_2t^2\varepsilon^2.$$

Then there exists $\varepsilon_0>0$ small, such that for $\forall t\in\big[(\frac{p}{C_0})^{\frac{1}{2p}},(\frac{2p}{C_0})^{\frac{1}{2p}}\big]$, when $\varepsilon\in(0,\varepsilon_0)$, we have that

$$0<g_\varepsilon(t)<\frac{1}{2}.$$

Then $\exists t_\varepsilon\in\big[(\frac{p}{C_0})^{\frac{1}{2p}},(\frac{2p}{C_0})^{\frac{1}{2p}}\big]$ such that $H(t_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon)=1$ because $H(tv_\varepsilon)$ is continuous in $t$. Thus the set $\mathcal{M}$ is not empty. Moreover, since

$$1=H(t_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon)=\frac{C_0}{2p}t_\varepsilon^{2p}+C_1t_\varepsilon^q\varepsilon^{N-\frac{N-2}{2}q}-C_2t_\varepsilon^2\varepsilon^2,$$

then $\frac{C_0}{2p}t_\varepsilon^{2p} < 1$ for $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$, from which we know that

$$A \leqslant T(t_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon) = t_\varepsilon^2 T(v_\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{2}St_\varepsilon^2 < \frac{1}{2}S(\frac{2p}{C_0})^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

When $N = 4$, as in Lemma 2.2, set

$$u^\sigma(x) = \frac{[N(N-2)]^{(N-2)/4}}{[1+|x|^2]^{(N-2+\sigma)/2}},$$

$$u_\varepsilon^\sigma(x) = \varepsilon^{\frac{2-N}{2}}u^\sigma(x/\varepsilon) = \varepsilon^{\frac{2-N}{2}}\frac{[N(N-2)]^{(N-2)/4}}{[1+|x|^2/\varepsilon^2]^{(N-2+\sigma)/2}}.$$

Choosing $\sigma = \varepsilon^s$, where $4 - q < s < q - 2$, for $\varepsilon > 0$ small, we have that

$$\|u_\varepsilon^\sigma\|_2^2 = O(\varepsilon^{2-s}), \ \ \|u_\varepsilon^\sigma\|_q^q = O(\varepsilon^{4-q}),$$

and

$$A(u_\varepsilon^\sigma) \leqslant S^{N/2} + C\sigma,$$
$$C_0S^{(N+\alpha)/2} - C\sigma \leqslant B(u_\varepsilon^\sigma) \leqslant C_0S^{(N+\alpha)/2},$$
$$S^{N/2} - C\sigma \leqslant \|u_\varepsilon^\sigma\|_{2^*}^{2^*} \leqslant S^{N/2}.$$

Set $v_\varepsilon^\sigma = \frac{u_\varepsilon^\sigma}{\|u_\varepsilon^\sigma\|_{2^*}}$, we have that

$$T(v_\varepsilon^\sigma) = \frac{\|\nabla u_\varepsilon^\sigma\|_2^2}{2\|u_\varepsilon^\sigma\|_{2^*}^2} \leqslant \frac{S}{2} + C\sigma, \tag{3.6}$$

$$C_0 - C\sigma \leqslant B(v_\varepsilon^\sigma) = \frac{B(u_\varepsilon^\sigma)}{\|u_\varepsilon^\sigma\|_{2^*}^{2p}} \leqslant C_0 + C\sigma, \tag{3.7}$$

$$O(\varepsilon^{4-q}) \leqslant C(v_\varepsilon^\sigma) = \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|u_\varepsilon^\sigma|^q dx}{\|u_\varepsilon^\sigma\|_{2^*}^q} \leqslant O(\varepsilon^{4-q}) + C\sigma, \tag{3.8}$$

$$O(\varepsilon^{2-s}) \leqslant D(v_\varepsilon^\sigma) = \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|u_\varepsilon^\sigma|^2 dx}{\|u_\varepsilon^\sigma\|_{2^*}^2} \leqslant O(\varepsilon^{2-s}) + C\sigma. \tag{3.9}$$

For $\forall t \in \big[(\frac{p}{C_0})^{\frac{1}{2p}}, (\frac{2p}{C_0})^{\frac{1}{2p}}\big]$, we have that

$$\Big|H(tv_\varepsilon^\sigma) - \big(\frac{C_0}{2p}t^{2p} + \frac{t^q}{q}O(\varepsilon^{4-q}) - \frac{t^2}{2}O(\varepsilon^{2-s})\big)\Big| < C\sigma,$$

and $T(v_\varepsilon^\sigma) \leqslant \frac{S}{2} + C\sigma < \frac{S}{2}(1 + C_1\sigma)^{\frac{1}{p}}$. Set

$$g_\varepsilon^\pm(t) = \frac{t^q}{q}O(\varepsilon^{4-q}) - \frac{t^2}{2}O(\varepsilon^{2-s}) \pm C\sigma.$$

Then there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ small, such that for $\forall t \in \big[(\frac{p}{C_0})^{\frac{1}{2p}}, (\frac{2p}{C_0})^{\frac{1}{2p}}\big]$, when $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$, we have that

$$0 < g_\varepsilon^\pm(t) < \frac{1}{2}.$$

Then $\exists t_\varepsilon \in \big[(\frac{p}{C_0})^{\frac{1}{2p}}, (\frac{2p}{C_0})^{\frac{1}{2p}}\big]$ such that $H(t_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon^\sigma) = 1$ because $H(tv_\varepsilon^\sigma)$ is continuous in $t$. Thus the set $\mathcal{M}$ is not empty. Moreover, since

$$1 = H(t_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon^\sigma) > \frac{C_0}{2p}t_\varepsilon^{2p} + t_\varepsilon^q O(\varepsilon^{4-q}) - t_\varepsilon^2 O(\varepsilon^{2-s}) - C\sigma,$$

then $\frac{C_0}{2p}t_\varepsilon^{2p} < 1 - C_1\sigma$ for $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough, from which we know that

$$A \leqslant T(t_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon^\sigma) = t_\varepsilon^2 T(v_\varepsilon^\sigma) < \frac{1}{2}S(1+C_1\sigma)^{\frac{1}{p}}(1-C_1\sigma)^{\frac{1}{p}}(\frac{2p}{C_0})^{\frac{1}{p}} < \frac{1}{2}S(\frac{2p}{C_0})^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

On the other hand, as in the proof of Lemma3.1, we have that $A > 0$. □

The next lemma is the Brezis-Lieb lemma for the nonlocal term of the functional, see [21].

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha \in (0,N)$, $p \in [1, \frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}]$ and $\{u_n\}$ be a bounded sequence in $L^{2Np/(N+\alpha)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. If $u_n \longrightarrow u$ a.e. on $\mathbb{R}^N$, then*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}(I_\alpha * |u_n|^p)|u_n|^p dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N}(I_\alpha * |u_n-u|^p)|u_n-u|^p dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N}(I_\alpha * |u|^p)|u|^p dx + o(1).$$

**Lemma 3.4.** *Assume the assumptions of Theorem1.2 hold. Then problem (1.5) has a minimizer $u_0 \in H^1_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.*

**Proof.** First we show there exists a radial minimizing sequence. Assume $\{u_n\} \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a minimizing sequence , namely $H(u_n) = 1$, and $T(u_n) \longrightarrow A$. Let $u_n^*$ be the Schwarz spherical rearrangement of $|u_n|$, then $u_n^*$ is radial and by [15], we have that $H(u_n^*) \geqslant H(u_n) = 1$, $T(u_n^*) \leqslant T(u_n)$. Then there exists $t_n \in [0,1]$, such that $H(t_n u_n^*) = 1$. Set $\bar{u}_n = t_n u_n^*$, then $H(\bar{u}_n) = 1, T(\bar{u}_n) = t_n^2 T(u_n^*) \leqslant T(u_n^*)$, so $\{\bar{u}_n\}$ is a radial minimizing sequence. So we assume $\{u_n\} \in H^1_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a minimizing sequence. By Lemma 2.1, we know that $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $H^1_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. We can assume $u_n \rightharpoonup u_0$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, and $u_n \longrightarrow u_0$ $a.e.$ on $\mathbb{R}^N$ up to subsequence. Then we have $T(u_0) \leqslant A$. By Radial Lemma in [26], we know that $|u_n(x)| \leqslant C|x|^{-\frac{N}{2}}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$. Set $v_n = u_n - u_0$, then we have $T(u_n) = T(v_n) + T(u_0) + o(1)$. By Brezis-Lieb Lemma in [27], we get that $C(u_n) = C(v_n) + C(u_0) + o(1)$ and $D(u_n) = D(v_n) + D(u_0) + o(1)$. From Lemma 3.3, we know that $B(u_n) = B(v_n) + B(u_0) + o(1)$. Thus we obtain $H(u_n) = H(v_n) + H(u_0) + o(1)$. Set $S_n = T(v_n)$, $S_0 = T(u_0)$, $\lambda_n = H(v_n)$, $\lambda_0 = H(u_0)$, then we have that

$$\lambda_n = 1 - \lambda_0 + o(1), \;\; S_n = A - S_0 + o(1).$$

It is sufficient to prove that $\lambda_0 = 1$. Set $u_\sigma(x) = u(\frac{x}{\sigma})$, $\sigma > 0$, then we have

$$T(u_\sigma) = \sigma^{N-2}T(u),\; B(u_\sigma) = \sigma^{N+\alpha}B(u),$$

$$C(u_\sigma) = \sigma^N C(u),\; D(u_\sigma) = \sigma^N D(u).$$

Since $H(u_\sigma) = \frac{\sigma^{N+\alpha}}{2p}B(u) + \frac{\sigma^N}{q}C(u) - \frac{\sigma^N}{2}D(u)$, for $\forall u \neq 0$, there exists $\sigma_u > 0$ such that $H(u_\sigma) = 1$. By the definition of $A$, we have that

$$T(u_{\sigma_u}) \geqslant AH(u_{\sigma_u}) = A\big[{\sigma_u}^N H(u) + \frac{{\sigma_u}^N}{2p}({\sigma_u}^\alpha - 1)B(u)\big].$$

When $0 < H(u) \leqslant 1$, we have $\sigma_u \geqslant 1$, then ${\sigma_u}^N H(u) \leqslant 1$ and we know that $T(u) \geqslant A{\sigma_u}^{2-N} \geqslant AH(u)^{\frac{N-2}{N}}$. When $H(u) > 1$, we get that $\sigma_u < 1$, then $T(u) \geqslant A{\sigma_u}^{2-N} > A$. If $\lambda_0 > 1$, then $S_0 > A$, which is a contradiction with $S_0 \leqslant A$. So $\lambda_0 \leqslant 1$. If $\lambda_0 < 0$, then $\lambda_n > 1 - \frac{\lambda_0}{2} > 1$ for $n$ large enough. Then there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that $\sigma_{v_n} \leqslant 1 - \varepsilon_0$ for $n$ large enough. Thus, we have $S_n \geqslant A{\sigma_{v_n}}^{2-N} \geqslant A(1-\varepsilon_0)^{2-N}$ for $n$ large enough , which is a contradiction to $S_n \leqslant A + o(1)$. Thus

we get that $\lambda_0 \in [0,1]$. If $\lambda_0 \in (0,1)$, then $0 < \lambda_n < 1$ for n large enough, and $S_0 \geqslant A(\lambda_0)^{\frac{N-2}{N}}$, $S_n \geqslant A(\lambda_n)^{\frac{N-2}{N}}$. Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \lim_{n\longrightarrow\infty}(S_0+S_n) \\ &\geqslant \lim_{n\longrightarrow\infty} A(\lambda_0^{\frac{N-2}{N}}+\lambda_n^{\frac{N-2}{N}}) \\ &= A(\lambda_0^{\frac{N-2}{N}}+(1-\lambda_0)^{\frac{N-2}{N}}) \\ &> A(\lambda_0+1-\lambda_0) = A, \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

which is a contradiction. If $\lambda_0 = 0$, then $\lambda_n = 1+o(1)$, and we get that $S_0 = 0$ and $u_0 = 0$. Thus

$$1 = H(v_n) = \frac{1}{2p}B(v_n) + \frac{1}{q}C(v_n) - \frac{1}{2}D(v_n).$$

By Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality, we have that

$$1+\frac{1}{2}D(v_n) = \frac{1}{2p}B(v_n)+\frac{1}{q}C(v_n) \leqslant \frac{C_0}{2p}\|v_n\|_{2^*}^{2p} + \frac{1}{q}C(v_n).$$

Since the embedding $H^1_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N) \subset L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is compact, we have $C(v_n) = o(1)$. Then

$$\limsup_{n\longrightarrow\infty}\|v_n\|_{2^*}^2 \geqslant (\frac{2p}{C_0})^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

then by Sobolev inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \frac{1}{2}\lim_{n\longrightarrow\infty}\|\nabla v_n\|_2^2 \\ &\geqslant \frac{1}{2}(\frac{2p}{C_0})^{\frac{1}{p}}\liminf_{n\longrightarrow\infty}\frac{\|\nabla v_n\|_2^2}{\|v_n\|_{2^*}^2} \\ &\geqslant \frac{1}{2}(\frac{2p}{C_0})^{\frac{1}{p}}S, \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

which is a contradiction by Lemma 3.2. Therefore, we conclude that $\lambda_0 = 1$, and $u_0$ is a minimizer. □

# References


[1] C. O. Alves, M. A. S. Souto and M. Montenegro: Existence of a ground state solution for a nonlinear scalar field equation with critical growth. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* , **43**, no. 3-4, 537–554 (2012)

[2] C. O. Alves, D. Cassani, C. Tarsi and M. B. Yang: Existence and concentration of ground state solutions for a critical nonlocal Schrödinger equation in $\mathbb{R}^2$. *J. Differential Equations*, **261**, no. 3, 1933–1972 (2016)

[3] C. O. Alves, G. M. Figueiredo and M. B. Yang: Existence of solutions for a nonlinear Choquard equation with potential vanishing at infinity. available at arXiv:1511.04551v1.

[4] C. O. Alves, A. B. Nóbrega and M. B. Yang: Multi-bump solutions for Choquard equation with deepening potential well. available at arXiv:1510.01409v3.

[5] H. Berestycki and P. L. Lions: Nonlinear scalar field equations. I. Existence of infinitely many solutions. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, **82**:347–375 (1983)

[6] J. Q. Chen and B. L. Guo: Blow up solutions for one class of system of Pekar-Choquard type nonlinear Schrödinger equation. *Appl. Math. Comput.* , **186** , no. 1, 83–92 (2007)

[7] S. Cingolani and T. Weth: On the planar Schrödinger-Poisson system. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincare Anal. Non Lineaire* , **33**, no. 1, 169–197 (2016)

[8] P. Choquard, J. Stubbe and M. Vuffray: Stationary solutions of the Schrödinger-Newton model-an ODE approach. *Differ. Integral Equ.* , **21**(7-8): 665–679 (2008)

[9] F. S. Gao, Z. F. Shen and M. B. Yang: Groundstates for nonlinear fractional Choquard equations with general nonlinearities. available at arXiv:1412.3184v3.

[10] F. S. Gao, and M. B. Yang: On the Brezis-Nirenberg type critical problem for nonlinear Choquard equation. available at arXiv:1604.00826v4.

[11] H. Genev and G. Venkov: Soliton and blow-up solutions to the time-dependent Schrödinger-Hartre equation. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. S* , **5**(5): 903–923 (2012)

[12] L. Jeanjean and K. Tanaka: A remark on least energy solutions in RN. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* , **131** , no. 8, 2399–2408 (2003)

[13] G. B. Li and H. Y. Ye: The existence of positive solutions with prescribed $L^2$-norm for nonlinear Choquard equations. *J. Math. Phys.* , **55** , no. 12, 121501, 19 pp (2014)

[14] E. H. Lieb: Existence and uniqueness of the minimizing solution of Choquard's nonlinear equation. *Stud. Appl. Math.* ,**57**(2):93–105 (1976/77)

[15] E. H. Lieb and M. Loss: Analysis, 2nd edition, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 14. *American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI* ,xxii+346 pp (2001)

[16] P. L. Lions: The Choquard equation and related questions. *Nonlinear Anal.* , **4**(6):1063–1072 (1980)

[17] L. Ma and L. Zhao: Classification of positive solitary solutions of the nonlinear Choquard equation. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* , **195**(2): 455–467 (2010)

[18] G. P. Menzala: On regular solutions of a nonlinear equation of Choquard's type. *Proc. - R. Soc. Edinburgh, Sect. A: Math.* , **86**(3-4): 291–301 (1980)

[19] V. Moroz, R. Penrose and P. Tod: Spherically-symmetric solutions of the Schrödinger-Newton equations. *Classical Quantum Gravity* , **15**(9):2733–2742 (1998)

[20] V. Moroz and P. Tod: An analytical approach to the Schrödinger-Newton equations. *Nonlinearity* , **12**(2):201–216 (1999)

[21] V. Moroz and J. Van Schaftingen: Groundstates of nonlinear Choquard equations: existence, qualitative properties and decay asymptotics. *J. Funct. Anal.*, **265**:153–184 (2013)

[22] V. Moroz and J. Van Schaftingen: Groundstates of nonlinear Choquard equations: Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev critical exponent. *Commun. Contemp. Math.* , **17** , no. 5, 1550005, 12 pp (2015)

[23] V. Moroz and J. Van Schaftingen: Existence of groundstates for a class of nonlinear Choquard equations. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **9**:6557–6579 (2015)

[24] V. Moroz and J. Van Schaftingen: A guide to the Choquard equation. available at arXiv:1606.02158v1.

[25] S. Pekar: Untersuchung über die Elektronentherorie der Kristalle. *Akademie Verlag, Berlin* (1954)

[26] W. A. Strauss: Existence of solitary waves in higher dimensions. *Comm. Math. Phys.* , **55** , no. 2, 149–162 (1977)

[27] M. Willem: Minimax Theorems. *Progr. Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl.*, vol. 24, Birkhauser, Boston, MA (1996)

[28] J. J. Zhang and W. M. Zou: A Berestycki-Lions theorem revisited. *Commun. Contemp. Math.*, **14** , no. 5, 1250033, 14 pp (2012)